

# Assessing and Adjusting Student Growth Objectives (SGOs)

## **Assessing SGO Quality**

According to evaluation regulations, all New Jersey teachers were required to have developed SGOs in consultation with their supervisors by November 15, 2013. Although this deadline has passed, it is not too late for districts to review the quality of their teachers' SGOs and identify any that should be modified to ensure they are appropriate for use in an educator's evaluation this year.

According to state regulations, SGOs may be modified with chief school administrator approval by February 15, 2014. Therefore, the Department encourages members of the District Evaluation Advisory Committee (DEAC), School Improvement Panel (ScIP), and others supporting evaluation within districts and schools to assess and potentially adjust SGOs as necessary and appropriate. In addition to identifying SGOs that may require modification, an SGO quality assessment can:

- Indicate certain schools within the district that may need further SGO support; and,
- Identify patterns of strengths and weaknesses in SGO construction that can be used to inform professional learning opportunities and SGO development and training for SY14-15.

## **Adjusting SGOs**

If an SGO includes any of the following factors, it cannot provide a sufficient measure of teacher effectiveness and should be modified no later than the February 15<sup>th</sup> deadline (see suggested solutions beneath each):

- 1. A poorly constructed SGO statement and scoring plan make it **impossible to determine what the objective is**.
  - → Solution set targets that make sense and are consistent with baseline data.
- 2. The achievement or growth **target is set too low** to be a meaningful assessment of the teacher's effectiveness
  - → Solution include more students and/or set a higher target.
- 3. No assessment is provided or the assessment is inadequate.
  - → Solution require the assessment be submitted or rewrite assessment.
- 4. **No baseline data** is provided or the baseline data is inadequate.
  - → Solution require baseline data be collected and submitted.

# **Tailoring Professional Learning for Future SGO Development**

A district-wide inspection of SGOs may yield patterns of quality inconsistency across schools, such as, high quality SGOs at certain elementary schools and lower quality SGOs at the high school. District leaders can use this information to provide extra training and support for principals, supervisors, and teachers as needed. This will ensure that, going forward, both teachers and administrators have the support they need to successfully use SGOs for their evaluations.

With access to SGO quality information, the DEAC can make district-wide recommendations for professional learning that will facilitate SGO development in the next school year and beyond. For example, the DEAC may find that the quality of SGO assessments is poor, or that most teachers used only pre-assessments for the baseline data in order to set their targets. In these cases, the DEAC may recommend teachers and administrators focus their professional learning on choosing and creating high quality assessments and using data to set appropriate targets. This will lead to higher-quality SGOs in the coming school years.

#### **Suggested SGO Assessment Process**

A district should approach the SGO assessment process in a way that makes sense for its own circumstances. Administrators will need to balance the benefits of conducting an SGO review with other competing priorities. Described below is one possible approach a district might take when tackling this work:

- 1. Convene an administrative team to review SGOs. Choose a facilitator with a strong understanding of the SGO process. In large districts, consider assembling school-based teams to communicate findings to the central office. An SGO team might consist of School Improvement Panel (ScIP) or District Evaluation Advisory Committee (DEAC) members or other administrators who take part in the evaluation process.
- 2. Choose a rubric with a 1-4 scale with which to evaluate SGOs. The Department has produced a comprehensive SGO Quality Rating Rubric for this purpose (see a summary in the box).
- 3. Conduct a norm-setting process so that all team members understand the components of a high quality SGO and the aspects that prevent some SGOs from appropriate use. Provide SGO samples that span the range of quality and calibrate the team using these samples. Use the presentation SGO Quality Assessment to help guide this process.
- Score SGOs on a 1-4 scale to identify teachers who need immediate help to improve their SGOs, as well as broad patterns of strengths and weaknesses in the SGOs overall.

#### Components of a High-Quality SGO

- 1. The SGO statement is specific and measurable.
- The scoring plan is consistent with the SGO statement and arranged to provide a suitable four-point scale. Targets are ambitious and achievable. Differentiated achievement or growth targets informed by baseline date are used.
- 3. The SGO incorporates a significant number of standards and a significant proportion of the teacher's students.
- 4. The assessment is comprehensive and of good quality. Evidence is provided to show how the summative assessment is aligned to standards.
- 5. More than one data source is used for baseline information. Together, these data sources are used thoughtfully to set realistic targets.

Note: SGO assessments should be made available for inspection throughout this process.

### **For More Information**

Please view the AchieveNJ SGO Web Page for a variety of resources on SGOs, including:

- SGO Quality Assessment Presentation (PPT | PDF). This new presentation provides guidance to SGO teams and administrators to help prepare before assessing SGO quality.
- **SGO Quality Rating Rubric** (<u>Word | PDF</u>). This two-page rubric provides detailed descriptions of SGO quality on a four-point scale.

To share questions or feedback, please email <u>educatorevaluation@doe.state.nj.us</u>, or call the AchieveNJ Help Line at 609-777-3788.